

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

WAYNE RUDDER, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FARMERS INSURANCE, et al.,

Defendants.

NO. CV-10-3101-LRS

**ORDER DENYING  
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

Plaintiff has filed a Motion For Reconsideration (Ct. Rec. 10) asking the court to reconsider its order denying him *in forma pauperis* status (Ct. Rec. 9).

This court had all the information it needed, specifically the pre-filing review order from the Western District of Washington (Ct. Rec. 8 in C07-5679 FDB), to properly deny Plaintiff's *in forma pauperis* status. That order was based on a "Report and Recommendation" which painstakingly details Plaintiff's lengthy history of abusive litigation. (Ct. Rec. 7 in C07-5679 FDB). In the Western District of Washington, Plaintiff was afforded all the process that was due to him before he was declared a vexatious litigant. (See "Report and Recommendation," Ct. Rec. 7 in C07-5679 FDB at pp. 7-8). Plaintiff appealed the pre-filing review order to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals and his appeal was ultimately dismissed by the circuit which found his appeal "so insubstantial as to not warrant further review." (Ct. Rec. 10 and 15 in C07-5679 FDB).

Plaintiff is not entitled to a "fresh start" in the Eastern District of

**ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION-**

1 Washington which would ignore his history in the Western District of Washington  
2 and the Ninth Circuit. Plaintiff's Motion For Reconsideration (Ct. Rec. 10) is  
3 **DENIED.**

4 Because Plaintiff has failed to pay the filing fee as required, the captioned  
5 action is **DISMISSED with prejudice.** The District Executive shall enter  
6 judgment accordingly. This court hereby certifies that any appeal taken from this  
7 order and the prior "Order Denying IFP Status" (Ct. Rec. 9) is not taken in good  
8 faith. 28 U.S.C. Section 1915(a)(3). This file shall be closed. Other than the  
9 filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Ninth Circuit, this court will not permit the  
10 filing of any additional motions for reconsideration in this now closed file.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The District Court Executive shall forward a copy  
12 of the judgment and this order to the Plaintiff.

13 **DATED** this 22nd day of December, 2010.

14  
15 *s/Lonny R. Suko*

16 

---

LONNY R. SUKO  
17 Chief U. S. District Court Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ORDER DENYING MOTION  
FOR RECONSIDERATION-**